

**DACS—PESTICIDE REVIEW COUNCIL**  
**AUGUST 30, 2011—TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA**  
**CONNER ADMINISTRATION BUILDING (EYSTER AUDITORIUM) AND TELECONFERENCE**  
**3125 CONNER BOULEVARD—TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399—850.617.7917**

- PESTICIDE REVIEW COUNCIL AUGUST MEETING OBJECTIVES***
- To Approve Regular Procedural Topics (Agenda, Report, Workplan and Meeting Schedule)
  - To Conduct Annual Election of Council Officers—Elect New Secretary and Seat Chair and Vice-Chair Positions
  - To Hear Agency Updates (Legislative, Rules, and Budget)
  - To Discuss School Spray Drift Recommendations Report
  - To Hear a Report on Biological and Alternate Pest Control Strategies
  - To Hear an Update on NPDES Pesticides Generic Permit Implementation
  - To Hear a Report on Worker Safety Compliance Issues
  - To Consider Public Comment
  - To Consider Council Member’s Comments and Issues
  - To Identify Needed Next Steps, Assignments, and Agenda Items For the Next Meeting

<i><b>AGENDA—TUESDAY, AUGUST 30, 2011</b></i>		
<i>All Agenda Times—including Adjournment—are Approximate and Subject to Change</i>		
<b>10:00 – 10:05</b>	<b>Welcome and Introductions</b> • Council Member and Public Introductions	Katz
<b>10:05 – 10:10</b>	<b>Agenda Review and Approval</b>	Katz
<b>10:10 – 10:15</b>	<b>May 23, 2011 Facilitator’s Summary Report Approval</b>	Blair
<b>10:15 – 10:20</b>	<b>Updated Workplan and Meeting Schedule Review and Approval</b>	Blair
<b>10:20 – 10:30</b>	<b>Nominations Committee Recommendations and Election of Council Officers</b>	Katz
<b>10:30 – 10:50</b>	<b>Agency Issues Updates</b> • Legislative, Rules, Budget, and Organizational Updates {Andy Rackley—FDACS; Dr. John Hayes—IFAS}	Rackley; Hayes
<b>10:50 – 11:20</b>	<b>School Spray Drift Recommendations Report and Discussion</b>	DACS & DOH
<b>11:20 – 12:20</b>	<b>Biological and Alternate Pest Control Strategies Report</b> {Dr. James Cuda—IFAS}	Cuda
<b>12:20 – 12:50</b>	<i>Working Lunch—On Campus/Site</i>	
<b>12:50 – 1:05</b>	<b>NPDES Pesticides Generic Permit Implementation Update</b>	DEP

1:05 – 1:50	<b>Worker Safety Compliance Issues Report</b>	DACS
1:50 – 2:05	<b>Public Comment</b>	Katz
2:05 – 2:10	<b>Council Member's Comments and Issues</b>	Katz
2:10 – 2:20	<b>Next Steps and Agenda Items for Next Meeting</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review action items and assignments</li> <li>• Identify agenda items for February 21, 2012 meeting in Tallahassee</li> <li>• Identify any needed information and/or background documents</li> </ul>	Blair
2:20	<i>Adjourn</i>	

**AGENDA CONTACT INFORMATION:** [jblair@fsu.edu](mailto:jblair@fsu.edu) ; <http://consensus.fsu.edu/PRC/index.html>



**COUNCIL MEMBERSHIP**

Bill Caton, Tim Fitzpatrick, Joe Gaudino (vice-chair), John Hayes, Dennis Howard (secretary), Brian Katz (chair), David Krause, Mel Kyle, Richard Pfeuffer, J. Keith Tolson.  
 {Grower position vacant, Gary Reeder resigned}

**2012 MEETING SCHEDULE**

February 21, 2012      Tallahassee  
 May 15, 2012              Gainesville  
 September 18, 2012      Gainesville

**WEB AND TELECONFERENCE PARTICIPATION INFORMATION**

Information regarding participating by web and/or teleconference is available as follows:

**TELECONFERENCE INFORMATION**

Phone Number: 1.888.808.6959                      Conference Code: 9214145#  
 To Mute Phone: \*6                                      To Un-Mute: #6

**WEB ADDRESS (URL)**

<http://www.flaes.org/upcomingmeetingsandworkshops.html>

## **ADOPTED COUNCIL MEETING GUIDELINES**

(ADOPTED UNANIMOUSLY JANUARY 18, 2011)

### **COUNCIL MEMBER'S ROLE**

- Prepare for the Meeting. Review documents and background material prior to attending.
- Keep to the agenda and meeting procedural guidelines.
- Take the opportunity to explore possibilities. Offering or exploring an idea does not necessarily imply support for it.
- Listen to understand. Seek a shared understanding even if you don't agree.
- Be focused and concise—balance participation & minimize repetition. Share the airtime.
- Look to the chair/facilitator to be recognized. Please raise your hand to speak.
- Speak one person at a time. Please don't interrupt each other.
- Focus on issues, not personalities. Avoid stereotyping or personal attacks.
- To the extent possible, offer options to address others' concerns, as well as your own.
- Participate fully in discussions, and complete meeting assignments as requested.
- Serve as an accessible liaison, and represent and communicate with member's appointed stakeholder group.

### **CHAIR'S ROLE**

- Provide leadership and guidance to Council members, staff, stakeholders and general public.
- Commit to the consensus-building process.
- Participate directly in the substantive process of seeking agreement on recommendations.
- Focus on the substantive technical aspects of the meeting and each discussion item.
- Ensure a fair process during which all perspectives are considered.
- Enhance the opportunity for consensus building encouraging constructive discussions among the members.
- Maintain neutrality, managing meetings to help maintain the flow.
- Consult with facilitator to resolve any procedural issues.
- For issues that require PRC action: once discussion is complete ask for motion(s) or assign facilitator to handle the motion(s).
- Convene subcommittees and appoint subcommittee members as needed.
- Consult with senior staff and facilitator to design agendas and meeting processes that will be both efficient and effective.

### **FACILITATOR'S ROLE (FCRC @ FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY)**

- Design and facilitate a participatory and fair meeting process.
- Provide consensus-building and conflict resolution guidance as needed.
- Enhance the opportunity for consensus building encouraging constructive discussions among the members, and that all perspectives are considered.
- Focus on the procedural aspects of the meeting and each discussion item.
- Assist members to stay focused and on task.
- Assist chair to manage speaking order on discussion issues, allowing chair to focus on substantive aspects of the issue instead of focusing on procedural aspects.
- Prepare and post agenda packets, worksheets and meeting summary reports.

**MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ROLE**

- Respect meeting process and guidelines.
- Provide input during provided comment opportunities.
- Consult and provide input to their representative stakeholder members to enhance the efficacy of the process.

**GUIDELINES FOR BRAINSTORMING**

- Speak when recognized by the Chair/Facilitator.
- Offer one idea per person without explanation.
- No comments, criticism, or discussion of other's ideas.
- Listen respectfully to other's ideas and opinions.
- Seek understanding and not agreement at this point in the discussion.

**THE NAME STACKING PROCESS**

- Determines the speaking order.
- Members raise hands to speak. Chair/Facilitator will call on participants in turn.
- Facilitator may interrupt the “stack” (change the speaking order) in order to promote discussion on a specific issue or, to balance participation and allow those who have not spoken on an issue an opportunity to do so before others on the list who have already spoken on the issue.

**GUIDELINES FOR REPORTS AND PRESENTATIONS**

- Chair/Facilitator introduces presenter.
- Hold all questions until report or presentation is complete, unless invited by the speaker.
- Facilitator stacks names.
- Chair/Facilitator calls on speakers.
- Clarifying questions only. (For discussions, see guidelines below.)

**GUIDELINES FOR DISCUSSIONS AND PROPOSALS**

- Facilitator guides process.
- Chair focuses on substantive aspects of the discussion.
- Meeting guidelines remain in effect.
- Facilitator stacks names.
- Proposal is presented (no comments or discussion).
- Clarifying questions are taken (no comments or discussion).
- Discussion of proposal (focus on issues, refine proposal, and consensus building).
- Consensus/Acceptability ranking as needed.
- Test for consensus with a motion to approve and a vote (Chair or facilitator asks for motions at chair’s preference).

**ACCEPTABILITY RANKING SCALE**

During the meetings, members will be asked to develop and rank the acceptability of options, and following discussions and refinements, may be asked to do additional rankings of the options if requested by members and staff. Please be prepared to offer specific refinements or changes to address your reservations. The following scale will be utilized for the ranking exercises:

<b>Acceptability Ranking Scale</b>	<b>4 =</b> <i>acceptable, I agree</i>	<b>3 =</b> <i>acceptable, I agree with minor reservations</i>	<b>2 =</b> <i>not acceptable, I don't agree unless major reservations addressed</i>	<b>1 =</b> <i>not acceptable</i>
------------------------------------	--	---	---	----------------------------------

**ADOPTED COUNCIL CONSENSUS-BUILDING AND DECISION-MAKING PROCEDURES**  
(ADOPTED UNANIMOUSLY JANUARY 18, 2011)

The Pesticide Review Council (Council) will seek consensus decisions on particular issues and their package of recommendations to be submitted on a regular basis to the Commissioner of Agriculture and Consumer Services, through the DACS Division of Agricultural Environmental Services.

The Council's Consensus building and decision making process is participatory, on matters of substance, the members jointly strive for agreements which all of the members can accept, support or at least agree not to oppose. In instances where, after vigorously exploring possible ways to enhance the members' support for the final decision on an issue or package of recommendations, and where 100% acceptance or support is not achievable, final decisions of the Council will require at least a 75% favorable vote of all members present and voting. This super majority decision rule underscores the Council's view of the importance of seeking consensus. In the event the Council can not reach consensus (75% in favor) on a decision, a minority report may be requested immediately following the vote, describing the rationales and preferences of those dissenting, to be included in the meeting summary report. The Council will make decisions only when a quorum is present. A quorum shall be constituted by at least 51% of the appointed members being present (simple majority).

The Council will utilize Robert's Rules of Order, as modified by the Council's adopted guidelines and procedures, to make and approve motions; however, the 75% supermajority voting requirement will supercede the normal voting requirements used in Robert's Rules of Order for decision making on substantive motions and amendments to motions. In addition, the Council will utilize their adopted meeting guidelines for conduct during meetings. The Council will make substantive recommendations using their adopted facilitated consensus-building procedures, and will use Robert's Rules of Order only for formal motions once a facilitated discussion is completed.

The presiding Chair and/or Facilitator of the Pesticide Review Council, in general, should use parliamentary procedures set forth in Robert's Rules of Order, as modified by Council's adopted procedural guidelines.

Any voting member may make a motion when a quorum is present, and after a thorough discussion. A second is required to discuss the motion. If a motion is seconded, the Chair/Facilitator opens the floor for discussion. The Chair/Facilitator will recognize members wishing to speak on the motion. The Chair/Facilitator will, if time permits, recognize other participants wishing to speak on the motion.

The Chair/Facilitator may elect or be requested by the member making the motion to take a "straw poll" on the motion. Based on the result, the Chair/Facilitator may table the motion with the agreement of the member moving it, pending further discussion. The member making the motion may accept friendly amendments to the motion. After completing discussion, the Chair/Facilitator will call the discussion to a close and restate the motion, with any friendly amendments, and call for a vote. If the motion receives a 75% favorable vote of the members present and voting it will be approved.

**COUNCIL ADOPTED GUIDING PRINCIPLES**  
(ADOPTED UNANIMOUSLY SEPTEMBER 16, 2010)

- PRINCIPLE 1:** The overall purpose of the Pesticide Review Council (PRC) is to serve as an advisory body to the Commissioner of Agriculture and Consumer Services, through the Division of Agricultural Environmental Services (DACS).
- PRINCIPLE 2:** The PRC shall adhere to its statutory authorities and charge.
- PRINCIPLE 3:** The PRC shall strive to achieve consensus on substantive recommendations made to DACS, other agencies and state universities.
- PRINCIPLE 4:** The PRC shall operate under clear, concise, consistent, and fair policies and procedures.
- PRINCIPLE 5:** The PRC shall serve as an accessible liaison between the Division of Agricultural Environmental Services, other agencies and state universities, the regulated industry, and consumers, thereby protecting the interests of the public.

**COUNCIL ADOPTED MISSION STATEMENT**  
(ADOPTED UNANIMOUSLY SEPTEMBER 16, 2010)

The mission of the Pesticide Review Council is to advise the Commissioner of Agriculture regarding the sale, use, and registration of pesticides and to advise government agencies, including the State University System, with respect to those activities related to their responsibilities regarding pesticides. Duties include recommending appropriate scientific studies on any registered pesticide and for actions to be taken with respect to the sale or use of a pesticide reviewed by the Council relative to protecting the environment and/or human health from unreasonably adverse effects, and reviewing biological and alternate controls to replace or reduce the use of pesticides. The council shall serve as a statewide forum for the coordination of pesticide-related activities to eliminate duplication of effort and maximize protection of the environment of the state and the health of the public.

**COUNCIL'S UPDATED WORKPLAN**  
(UPDATED MAY 23, 2011)

**WORKPLAN BY TASK (A-G)**

<b>A. ANNUAL TASKS</b>
487.0615(1)(c) In conducting its meetings, the council shall use accepted rules of procedure. A majority of the members of the council constitutes a quorum for all purposes.
(d) The members of the council shall meet and organize by electing a chair, a vice chair, and a secretary whose terms shall be for 1 year each.
(e) The council shall meet at the call of its chair, at the request of a majority of its members, at the request of the department, or at such time as a public health or environmental emergency arises.
1. Council shall meet three times per year starting with January of each year. Regularly scheduled meetings will held in January, May, and September of each year, and start at 10:00 AM.
2. Council reviews Workplan Prioritization Exercise results annually at the September meeting (Facilitator introduces at the May meeting).
3. Council reviews Effectiveness Assessment Survey results annually at the September meeting (Facilitator introduces at the May meeting).
4. Council rotates chair and vice-chair positions and elects new secretary during each September meeting. New officer positions become effective in October.
5. Council will deliver annual report to the Legislature by November 1 of each year.
<b>B. COUNCIL FUNCTIONALITY—COMMUNICATION, PLANNING, PARTICIPATION, REPRESENTATION, PROCESS, DECISION-MAKING, AND IMPLEMENTATION</b>
1. Council adopted revised procedures, polices, and consensus-building and decision-making procedures at the January 2011 meeting.
2. Council will receive updates on budgetary, legislative (including proposed statutory changes) and rulemaking issues at each meeting.
3. Council will develop, prioritize and maintain a Workplan.

<b>C. SCIENTIFIC STUDIES AND FUNDING PRIORITIES AND STRATEGIES</b>
487.0615(2)(a) Recommend, based upon review of state pesticide program needs, appropriate scientific studies on any registered pesticide when substantive preliminary data indicate that the pesticide could pose an unreasonably adverse effect on the environment or human health. The recommendations may include using available services of state agencies or of the State University System to conduct such scientific studies or may recommend that these agencies seek funding for this purpose. When the council recommends a study, it must support legislative budget requests for funding needed to conduct the study. The council may also conduct scientific studies if specific funding is provided to the department or other governmental agency by the Legislature.
1. Transport and fate of pesticides and their degradates in the environment (e.g., groundwater, surface water, atmospheric, and biological).
2. Health effects to pesticide applicators and people living near where pesticides are applied.
3. Data to evaluate pesticide effects/impacts on human health and the environment.
4. Spray drift.
5. Formulations and adjuvants - including nanotoxicological concerns.
6. Antimicrobials.
7. Emerging pests and diseases.
8. Restricted pesticides: update on usage and usage surveys for Florida.
9. Pesticide resistance: current trends and how to manage.
10. Strategy to secure a funding source for needed scientific studies.
11. Research involving biological and alternate controls to reduce pesticide use.

<b>D. ADVISE AND RECOMMEND ON PESTICIDE USE RESPONSIBILITIES— COMMUNICATION AND COOPERATION BETWEEN AND AMONG RESPONSIBLE AGENCIES AND AFFECTED INTERESTS</b>
487.0615(2)(c) Provide advice or information to appropriate governmental agencies, including the State University System, with respect to those activities related to their responsibilities regarding pesticides.
1. Council will discuss the implementation of DEP NPDES Pesticides Generic Permit (PGP) at each meeting until significant implementation issues are resolved.
2. Coordinate and collaborate with the Florida Coordinating Council on Mosquito Control (FCCMC) and the Pest Control Enforcement Advisory Council (PCEAC).
3. Establish a system that is comprehensive and user friendly for governmental agencies to find or request pesticide related information.
4. Pesticide applicator health and safety issues.
5. Pesticide registration and compliance issues.
6. Implementation of Soil Fumigation Registration Eligibility Decision (RED) requirements.
7. Endangered Species Act implementation for FIFRA regulated products.
8. Genetically modified organisms (GMO).
9. Implementation of revised fumigant labels (training, compliance issues).
10. Implementation of new federal restrictions for arsenical herbicides.
11. Pesticide residue and land use change.
12. Citrus Pest Management

<b>E. ENHANCING COMMUNICATION BETWEEN AND AMONG THE AGENCY, INDUSTRY AND PUBLIC</b>
1. Promoting public education regarding pesticide use, safety, benefits, and risks
2. Coordination role in environmental impact of pesticides: a forum for research/monitoring efforts statewide.
3. Council member review and recommendations regarding submissions for the annual Commissioner Award for Pesticide Stewardship.
4. Council should create a strategy and process to assist stakeholders to identify, prioritize and resolve pesticide issues of concern.
5. Promoting the value of U.S. agriculture.

<b>F. MITIGATION MEASURES TO MANAGE PESTICIDES—ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>
487.0615(2)(b) Make recommendations...to the Commissioner of Agriculture for actions to be taken with respect to the sale or use of a pesticide which the council has reviewed.
(2)(e) Consider, at the request of any member, the development of appropriate advice or recommendations on a pesticide when substantive preliminary data indicate that the pesticide could pose an unreasonably adverse effect on the environment or human health.
(2)(f) Assist the department in the review of registered pesticides which are selected for special review based upon potential environmental or human health effects. This process must include, but need not be limited to, selecting pesticides for special review, providing periodic updates to the council on preliminary findings as a special review progresses, and formulating final recommendations on any pesticide on which a special review has been conducted.
1. Monitoring for pesticides and their degradates in environmentally sensitive areas.
2. Evaluate potential human health impacts on pesticide applicators, farm workers and residents that consume groundwater near areas where pesticides are applied.
3. Soil fumigation procedures and recent federally required re-labeling.

<b>G. BIOLOGICAL AND ALTERNATE CONTROLS TO REPLACE OR REDUCE PESTICIDE USE</b>
487.0615(2)(d) Review biological and alternate controls to replace or reduce the use of pesticides.
1. Research updates regarding biological and alternate controls to reduce pesticide usage.
2. Recommendations for reductions in pesticide applications and usage with alternative methods.
3. Develop a suite of incentives for farmers to switch to alternative controls that are equally viable and also have other benefits (including BMPs and IPM).

## WORKPLAN PRIORITIZATION EXERCISE RESULTS 2010

JANUARY 18, 2011

WORKPLAN TOPICS (TASK/TOPIC #)	AVERAGE (5 TO 1)	OVERALL RANKING
Public education (E. 1)	4.36	1
Workplan development/prioritization (B. 3)	4.18	2
Applicator health/safety issues (D. 4)*	4.18	2
Impacts on applicators (F. 2)*	4.18	2
Applicator health effects (C. 2)*	4.09	5
Pesticide effects: human/environment (C. 3)*	4.09	5
Research pesticide reduction (G. 1)	3.91	7
Biological/alternate controls to reduce pesticide use (C. 11)*	3.82	8
NPDES permitting (D. 1)*	3.82	8
Soil fumigation procedures (F. 3)*	3.82	8
Pesticides & degradates monitoring (F. 1)	3.73	11
Recommendations for reduction of pesticide use (G. 2)	3.73	11
Emerging pests and diseases (C. 7)	3.64	13
Soil fumigation RED documents (D. 6)*	3.64	13
Incentives for alternative controls (G. 3)	3.64	13
Pesticides/degradates transport/fate (C. 1)	3.55	17
Strategy to secure a funding source (C. 10)	3.55	17
Coordination with other councils (D. 2)	3.55	17
Revised fumigant labels (D. 9)	3.55	17
Coordination/Forum (E. 2)	3.55	17
Strategy for stakeholders (E. 4)	3.55	17
Pesticide registration/compliance (D. 5)	3.45	23
Endangered species--FIFRA (D. 7)*	3.45	23
Pesticide residue/land use change (D. 11)	3.45	23
Spray drift (C. 4)*	3.36	26
Promoting value of US Agriculture (E. 5)	3.36	26
Information system (D. 3)	3.18	28
Arsenical herbicides restrictions (D. 10)	3.18	28
Pesticide resistance (C. 9)	3.09	30
Formulations and adjuvants (C. 5)	3.00	31
Antimicrobials (C. 6)	3.00	31
Pesticide stewardship award (E. 3)	3.00	31
Genetically modified organisms (D. 8)	2.91	34
Restricted pesticides usage/surveys (C. 8)	2.82	35
Citrus Pest Management (D. 12)		
<i>*Council Identified Tier-One (Top Priority) Priority Issues</i>		

<b>WORKPLAN PRIORITIZATION EXERCISE TIER 1 (TOP TEN) ISSUES RESULTS 2011</b>		
<b>MAY 23, 2011</b>		
<b>WORKPLAN TOPICS (TASK/TOPIC #)</b>	<b>AVERAGE (5 TO 1)</b>	<b>OVERALL RANKING</b>
Pesticide effects: human/envIRON. (C. 3)	4.67	1
Applicator health/safety issues (D. 4)	4.50	2
Impacts on applicators (F. 2)	4.50	2
Endangered species—FIFRA (D. 7)	4.33	4
Applicator health effects (C. 2)	4.33	4
Spray drift (C. 4)	4.33	4
NPDES Permitting (D. 1)	4.00	7
Biological/alternate controls to reduce pesticide use (C. 11)	4.00	7
Soil fumigation procedures (F. 3)	4.00	7
Soil fumigation RED documents (D. 6)	3.83	10

<b>WORKPLAN PRIORITIZATION EXERCISE RESULTS 2011</b>		
<b>MAY 23, 2011</b>		
<b>WORKPLAN TOPICS (TASK/TOPIC #)</b>	<b>AVERAGE (5 TO 1)</b>	<b>OVERALL RANKING</b>
Workplan development/prioritization (B. 3)	4.33	1
Research pesticide reduction (G. 1)	4.33	1
Pesticides & degradates monitoring (F. 1)	4.20	3
Coordination/Forum (E. 2)	4.00	4
Citrus Pest Management (D. 12)	4.00	4
Pesticides/degradates transport/fate (C. 1)	4.00	4
Emerging pests and diseases (C. 7)	3.83	7
Revised fumigant labels (D. 9)	3.83	7
Recommendations on reduction of pesticide use (G. 2)	3.83	7
Incentives for alternative controls (G. 3)	3.83	7
Arsenical herbicides restrictions (D. 10)	3.67	11
Public education (E. 1)	3.67	11
Pesticide resistance (C. 9)	3.50	13
Strategy to secure a funding source (C. 10)	3.50	13
Coordination with other councils (D. 2)	3.50	13
Pesticide residue/land use change (D. 11)	3.50	13
Restricted pesticides usage/surveys (C. 8)	3.33	17
Pesticide registration/compliance (D. 5)	3.33	17
Strategy for stakeholders (E. 4)	3.33	17
Formulations and adjuvants (C. 5)	3.17	20
Information system (D. 3)	3.00	21
Genetically modified organisms (D. 8)	3.00	21
Pesticide stewardship award (E. 3)	3.00	21
Antimicrobials (C. 6)	2.83	24
Promoting value of U.S. Agriculture (E. 5)	2.50	25



**FCRC CONSENSUS CENTER**

FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY  
2035 E. Paul Dirac Drive  
Morgan Building, Suite 236  
Tallahassee FL, 32310

(850) 644-6320 Fax (850) 644-4968

<http://consensus.fsu.edu>

**FACILITATION, MEETING AND PROCESS DESIGN BY JEFF A. BLAIR**

*"The purpose of the Consortium (FCRC Consensus Center) is to serve as a neutral resource to assist citizens and public and private interests in Florida to seek cost-effective solutions to public disputes and problems through the use of alternative dispute resolution and consensus building." --F.S. Public Postsecondary Education §1004.59*

The FCRC Consensus Center, based at Florida State University in Tallahassee and University of Central Florida in Orlando, provides consensus building and collaboration services, education, training and research to build a broader understanding of the value of collaborative approaches and create a cadre of citizens, leaders, professionals and students skilled in using collaborative consensus building processes.

**The FCRC Consensus Center serves as an independent public resource facilitating consensus solutions and supporting collaborative action.**

The FCRC Consensus Center offers neutral technical assistance to a wide range of professionals, agency staff and private citizens and organizations engaged in collaboration on public challenges. We help to design and implement efforts for community and public problem-solving and strategic planning, intergovernmental collaboration, and on land use, environmental, energy and other issues.



THE  
FLORIDA STATE  
UNIVERSITY

**PUBLIC COMMENT FORM**

The Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services and the Pesticide Review Council encourage written comments—All written comments will be included in the meeting summary report.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Organization: \_\_\_\_\_

Meeting Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Please make your comment(s) as specific as possible, and offer suggestions to address your concerns.

Please limit comment(s) to topics within the scope of the Council.

Any personal attacks or derogatory language will be discarded.

The chair or facilitator may, at their discretion, limit public comment to a maximum of three-minutes (3) per person, depending on the number of individuals wishing to speak.

COMMENT: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Please give completed form(s) to the Facilitator for inclusion in the meeting summary report.