

DACS—PESTICIDE REVIEW COUNCIL
JANUARY 18, 2011—GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA
FLORIDA FARM BUREAU
5700 SW 34TH STREET—GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA 32607—850.617.7917

All Agenda Times—including Public Comment and Adjournment—Are Subject to Change

MEETING OBJECTIVES

- To Approve Regular Procedural Topics (Agenda, Report, Workplan and Meeting Schedule)
- To Elect Replacement Officers
- To Review and Discuss Results of Workplan Prioritization Exercise
- To Review, Rank, and Engage in Action Planning on Workplan Tasks/Issues
- To Hear Agency Updates (Legislative, Rules, and Budget)
- To Hear an Update and Discuss NPDES Pesticides Generic Permit Implementation
- To Hear an Update and Discuss Citrus Pest Management Issues
- To Hear an Update and Discuss Soil Fumigant Issues
- To Review and Adopt Proposed Organizational and Procedural Policies and Guidelines
- To Consider Public Comment
- To Consider Council Member's Comments
- To Identify Needed Next Steps, Assignments, and Agenda Items For the Next Meeting

MEETING AGENDA

- | | | |
|--------------|---|---------|
| 10:00 | Welcome and Introductions | (Katz) |
| | Council Member and Public Introductions | |
| 10:05 | Agenda Review and Approval | (Katz) |
| 10:10 | September 16, 2010 Facilitator's Summary Report Approval | (Blair) |
| 10:15 | Updated Workplan and Meeting Schedule Review and Approval | (Blair) |
| 10:20 | Replacement of Council Officers Election and Selection Discussion | (Katz) |
| 10:30 | Workplan Prioritization Exercise Results Discussion | (Blair) |
| 11:00 | Agency Issues Updates {Legislative, Rules, Budget} | |
| | (Steve Dwinell, FDACS; Mark McLellan, IFAS) | |
| 11:20 | NPDES Pesticides Generic Permit Implementation Update and Discussion | |
| | (Allen Hubbard, DEP) | |
| 11:50 | Working Lunch on Campus | |
| 12:20 | Citrus Pest Management: Emerging Pesticide and Biological Control Issues | |
| | (Dr. Michael Rogers, IFAS) | |

- 1:20 Soil Fumigant Update (Dennis Howard, Bruce Nicely FDACS)
- 1:40 Review and Approval of Proposed Council Organizational and Procedural Policies and Guidelines (Blair)
- 2:20 Public Comment (Katz)
- 2:30 Council Member's Comment(s) (Katz)
- 2:40 Next Steps and Agenda Items for Next Meeting (Blair)
Next meeting agenda items, needed information, assignments, location, and date
- 2:50 *Adjourn*

Agenda Contact Information: jblair@fsu.edu ; <http://consensus.fsu.edu/PRC/index.html>

COUNCIL MEMBERSHIP

Bill Caton, Tim Fitzpatrick, Joe Gaudino (secretary), Dennis Howard, Brian Katz (chair), David Krause, Mel Kyle, Mark R. McLellan, Richard Pfeuffer, {Gary Reeder (resigned)}, J. Keith Tolson.

Rick Hicks (current vice-chair) has been replaced on the Council and a new vice-chair should be elected. One option is for Joe Gaudino to ascend to vice-chair and for the Council to elect a new secretary.

2011 MEETING SCHEDULE

January 18, 2011
May 17, 2011
August 2, 2011

PROPOSED COUNCIL MEETING GUIDELINES

COUNCIL MEMBER'S ROLE

- Prepare for the Meeting. Review documents and background material prior to attending.
- Keep to the agenda and meeting procedural guidelines.
- Take the opportunity to explore possibilities. Offering or exploring an idea does not necessarily imply support for it.
- Listen to understand. Seek a shared understanding even if you don't agree.
- Be focused and concise—balance participation & minimize repetition. Share the airtime.
- Look to the chair/facilitator to be recognized. Please raise your hand to speak.
- Speak one person at a time. Please don't interrupt each other.
- Focus on issues, not personalities. Avoid stereotyping or personal attacks.
- To the extent possible, offer options to address others' concerns, as well as your own.
- Participate fully in discussions, and complete meeting assignments as requested.
- Serve as an accessible liaison, and represent and communicate with member's appointed stakeholder group.

CHAIR'S ROLE

- Provide leadership and guidance to Council members, staff, stakeholders and general public.
- Commit to the consensus-building process.
- Participate directly in the substantive process of seeking agreement on recommendations.
- Focus on the substantive technical aspects of the meeting and each discussion item.
- Ensure a fair process during which all perspectives are considered.
- Enhance the opportunity for consensus building encouraging constructive discussions among the members.
- Maintain neutrality, managing meetings to help maintain the flow.
- Consult with facilitator to resolve any procedural issues.
- For issues that require PRC action: once discussion is complete ask for motion(s) or assign facilitator to handle the motion(s).
- Convene subcommittees and appoint subcommittee members as needed.
- Consult with senior staff and facilitator to design agendas and meeting processes that will be both efficient and effective.

FACILITATOR'S ROLE (FCRC @ FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY)

- Design and facilitate a participatory and fair meeting process.
- Provide consensus-building and conflict resolution guidance as needed.
- Enhance the opportunity for consensus building encouraging constructive discussions among the members, and that all perspectives are considered.
- Focus on the procedural aspects of the meeting and each discussion item.
- Assist members to stay focused and on task.
- Assist chair to manage speaking order on discussion issues, allowing chair to focus on substantive aspects of the issue instead of focusing on procedural aspects.
- Prepare and post agenda packets, worksheets and meeting summary reports.

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ROLE

- Respect meeting process and guidelines.
- Provide input during provided comment opportunities.
- Consult and provide input to their representative stakeholder members to enhance the efficacy of the process.

GUIDELINES FOR BRAINSTORMING

- Speak when recognized by the Chair/Facilitator.
- Offer one idea per person without explanation.
- No comments, criticism, or discussion of other's ideas.
- Listen respectfully to other's ideas and opinions.
- Seek understanding and not agreement at this point in the discussion.

THE NAME STACKING PROCESS

- Determines the speaking order.
- Members raise hands to speak. Chair/Facilitator will call on participants in turn.
- Facilitator may interrupt the “stack” (change the speaking order) in order to promote discussion on a specific issue or, to balance participation and allow those who have not spoken on an issue an opportunity to do so before others on the list who have already spoken on the issue.

GUIDELINES FOR REPORTS AND PRESENTATIONS

- Chair/Facilitator introduces presenter.
- Hold all questions until report or presentation is complete, unless invited by the speaker.
- Facilitator stacks names.
- Chair/Facilitator calls on speakers.
- Clarifying questions only. (For discussions, see guidelines below.)

GUIDELINES FOR DISCUSSIONS AND PROPOSALS

- Facilitator guides process.
- Chair focuses on substantive aspects of the discussion.
- Meeting guidelines remain in effect.
- Facilitator stacks names.
- Proposal is presented (no comments or discussion).
- Clarifying questions are taken (no comments or discussion).
- Discussion of proposal (focus on issues, refine proposal, and consensus building).
- Consensus/Acceptability ranking as needed.
- Test for consensus with a motion to approve and a vote (Chair or facilitator asks for motions at chair’s preference).

ACCEPTABILITY RANKING SCALE

During the meetings, members will be asked to develop and rank the acceptability of options, and following discussions and refinements, may be asked to do additional rankings of the options if requested by members and staff. Please be prepared to offer specific refinements or changes to address your reservations. The following scale will be utilized for the ranking exercises:

Acceptability Ranking Scale	4 = <i>acceptable, I agree</i>	3 = <i>acceptable, I agree with minor reservations</i>	2 = <i>not acceptable, I don't agree unless major reservations addressed</i>	1 = <i>not acceptable</i>
------------------------------------	--	---	---	----------------------------------

PROPOSED COUNCIL CONSENSUS-BUILDING AND DECISION-MAKING PROCEDURES

The Pesticide Review Council (Council) will seek consensus decisions on particular issues and their package of recommendations to be submitted on a regular basis to the Commissioner of Agriculture and Consumer Services, through the DACS Division of Agricultural Environmental Services.

The Council's Consensus building and decision making process is participatory, on matters of substance, the members jointly strive for agreements which all of the members can accept, support or at least agree not to oppose. In instances where, after vigorously exploring possible ways to enhance the members' support for the final decision on an issue or package of recommendations, and where 100% acceptance or support is not achievable, final decisions of the Council will require at least a 75% favorable vote of all members present and voting. This super majority decision rule underscores the Council's view of the importance of seeking consensus. In the event the Council can not reach consensus (75% in favor) on a decision, a minority report may be requested immediately following the vote, describing the rationales and preferences of those dissenting, to be included in the meeting summary report. The Council will make decisions only when a quorum is present. A quorum shall be constituted by at least 51% of the appointed members being present (simple majority).

The Council will utilize Robert's Rules of Order, as modified by the Council's adopted guidelines and procedures, to make and approve motions; however, the 75% supermajority voting requirement will supercede the normal voting requirements used in Robert's Rules of Order for decision making on substantive motions and amendments to motions. In addition, the Council will utilize their adopted meeting guidelines for conduct during meetings. The Council will make substantive recommendations using their adopted facilitated consensus-building procedures, and will use Robert's Rules of Order only for formal motions once a facilitated discussion is completed.

The presiding Chair and/or Facilitator of the Pesticide Review Council, in general, should use parliamentary procedures set forth in Robert's Rules of Order, as modified by Council's adopted procedural guidelines.

Any voting member may make a motion when a quorum is present, and after a thorough discussion. A second is required to discuss the motion. If a motion is seconded, the Chair/Facilitator opens the floor for discussion. The Chair/Facilitator will recognize members wishing to speak on the motion. The Chair/Facilitator will, if time permits, recognize other participants wishing to speak on the motion.

The Chair/Facilitator may elect or be requested by the member making the motion to take a "straw poll" on the motion. Based on the result, the Chair/Facilitator may table the motion with the agreement of the member moving it, pending further discussion. The member making the motion may accept friendly amendments to the motion. After completing discussion, the Chair/Facilitator will call the discussion to a close and restate the motion, with any friendly amendments, and call for a vote. If the motion receives a 75% favorable vote of the members present and voting it will be approved.

PROPOSED COUNCIL MEETING PROCESS FORMAT GUIDELINES

- Chair/Facilitator introduces each agenda item.
- Proponent/Presenter provides overview, rationale for proposal, and any requested action.
- Clarifying questions from members (something you don't understand). Names stacked.
- Council begins discussion only after all questions are answered.
- General discussion by Council members.
- Facilitator asks if any members of the public wishes to address the Council on the current issue under board/committee consideration
- Facilitator asks for those who wish to speak in favor of the proposal or topic under discussion to offer brief comments, others who wish to speak in favor will be asked to offer new points or simply state agreement with previous speakers.
- The same opportunity and requirements will be offered for those who wish to speak in opposition to the proposal or topic under discussion.
- Facilitator ensures that all views are expressed and similar views are not repeated.
- Facilitator may instruct public to avoid repeating points, and to summarize key points and to submit lengthy prepared statements into the record (instead of reading them).
- Members of the public will be provided one opportunity to comment per discussion agenda item, and may be limited to three (3) minutes.
- Members may, through the chair, ask clarifying questions to members of the public offering comments.
- After public comment, facilitator calls for members' discussion and stacks names of members wishing to speak.
- Members explore all options (pros and cons) prior to making a formal motion.
- Any voting member may make a motion when a quorum is present. Requires a second.
- If a motion is seconded, the facilitator opens the floor for discussion. The Facilitator will recognize members wishing to speak on the motion.
- If the motion involves an option that the public has already commented on, no additional public comment is taken. If the proposed action (motion) is materially different from what was previously discussed, an additional opportunity is provided for public comment, and then the Council votes on the motion.
- Once a motion is on the floor discussion is restricted to Council members except as allowed by the chair for purposes of clarification.
- Council member offers a second (may be seconded for purposes of discussion, and not necessarily due to agreement with the motion).
- Council members may offer friendly amendments and if accepted by maker of the motion, friendly amendment becomes a part of the motion currently under discussion.
- In order to get a "read" on a motion, the Facilitator may elect or be requested by the member making the motion to take a "straw poll" on the motion. Based on the result, the Facilitator may withdraw or table the motion with the agreement of the member moving it, pending further discussion.
- Council members may offer an amendment to the motion: second required, discussion, vote on the amendment only.
- The motion on the table is now the motion as amended (if amendment was approved).
- After completing discussion, the Facilitator will call the discussion to a close and restate the motion, with any friendly amendments or approved amendments, and the chair/facilitator will call for a vote. If the motion receives a 75% or greater favorable vote of the Council members it will be deemed approved.

PROPOSED COUNCIL POLICES

PROPOSED COUNCIL MEETING ATTENDANCE POLICY

Any member of the Pesticide Review Council who fails to attend two consecutive regularly scheduled meetings will be contacted by the Department to determine why the member was not able to attend and if the member still wishes to serve on the Council. If the member cannot demonstrate his or her absence was for good cause, or no longer wishes to serve on the Council, the Department will request the member submit a written resignation from the Council to the Commissioner of Agriculture. If the member refuses to resign, the Council will recommend to the Commissioner of Agriculture the member's appointment be terminated and a new member be appointed as a replacement.

PROPOSED MEETING FREQUENCY POLICY

The PRC shall strive to meet three times per year starting with January of each year. Regularly scheduled meetings will held in January, May, and September of each year. Additional meetings may be called by the Agency or Council chair as required.

PROPOSED ABSENTEE COUNCIL MEMBER COMMENT POLICY

Any member of the Council who wishes to have their comments/opinions read into the record at a meeting he or she will not be able to attend, may send comments by e-mail or fax to the Facilitator and the Department of Agriculture. The member should identify the agenda item(s) that the comment(s) pertain to. The Facilitator will read the absentee member's comments into the record during the discussion portion of the specific agenda item the member is commenting on, and the member's comments will be included in the Facilitator's meeting summary report. The Council member may only make one comment per agenda item, and each comment will be limited to a maximum of five-hundred (500) words.

PROPOSED ALTERNATE MEMBER POLICY

- In the event Council members can not attend a meeting, they may send an alternate to attend meetings in their absence.
- Council members shall notify DACS and the facilitator by e-mail when they will not be able to attend a meeting, and if an alternate will attend in their place.
- Council members shall provide the name and contact information for their alternates.
- Alternates shall be of the same stakeholder/constituent groups as the appointed members and knowledgeable on the issues.
- Council members shall provide alternates with a copy of the upcoming meeting's agenda and consult with alternates on the substantive discussion issues before the Council.
- Alternates will sit at the table and participate in all substantive discussions.
- Alternates should represent member's views on substantive discussion issues, to the extent possible.
- Alternates shall agree to follow all Council procedures as stipulated in the PRC's "Organizational and Procedural Guidelines". The Guidelines may be viewed at the following link: <http://consensus.fsu.edu/PRC/index.html>
- Alternates shall not participate in the discussion of procedural matters such as the yearly assessment survey and workplan prioritization exercise.

PROPOSED OFFICER ELECTION POLICY

The Council will elect three officers from within the existing membership, whom will serve in that position for a one-year term. The positions are chair, vice-chair, and secretary. Initially, three officers will be elected, and subsequently a new secretary will be elected at each September meeting, and the previous secretary will accede to the vice-chair position, and the vice-chair will accede to the chair position. An elections committee, chaired by the immediate past Council chair and comprised of 3 members from different interests will recommend nominations to the Council prior to the election of new officers.

PROPOSED SUBCOMMITTEE ORGANIZATION AND PROCEDURES POLICY

- The Council Chair shall convene subcommittees following a consensus vote of the Council.
- Subcommittees are advisory to the Council and shall report at each Council meeting.
- Subcommittees shall follow Council Organizational and Procedural Guidelines for operating meetings and decision making. The Guidelines may be viewed at the following link: <http://consensus.fsu.edu/PRC/legislation.html>
- Subcommittee chairs and members are appointed by the Council Chair.
- Subcommittees shall have a minimum of one (1) Council member (non-Council members may serve on subcommittees).
- Subcommittee chairs shall recommend members to the Council Chair for appointment.
- Subcommittee chairs shall maintain an updated list of members and provide them to the Council Chair and DACS.
- Subcommittees shall be comprised of a sufficient number of members to ensure representation by relevant affected stakeholder interests.
- Subcommittees require a quorum to conduct business. A majority of members shall constitute a quorum.
- Subcommittee members may designate an alternate to participate in meetings and vote in their absence. The subcommittee chair must be notified and approve alternate selections.

PROPOSED PROTOCOL FOR AGENDA SUBMITTAL AND CONSIDERATION

All agenda items must be submitted by close of business forty-five (45) days prior to the next scheduled Pesticide Review Council meeting.

The Division of Agricultural Environmental Services will review a proposed agenda item for a determination of whether or not the issue falls under the purview and statutory authority of the Pesticide Review Council. The agency will notify the proponent of any negative determination relative to issue being placed on next agenda.

Proposed agenda items meeting the submittal criteria will be noticed in the Florida Administrative Weekly (FAW) in accordance with the noticing requirements of F.S., Chapter 120.

Pesticide Review Council members will receive all proposed agenda items and supporting documentation at least fourteen days prior to the next scheduled Pesticide Review Council meeting.

No new agenda items will be considered at the Council meeting with the exception of those issues raised by the Division of Agricultural Environmental Services that have been determined to require immediate Council action, or by the unanimous (100%) approval of a quorum of the Council through the Chair.

Agenda items that meet submittal criteria and arrive after the established deadline will be placed on the next regularly scheduled Council meeting agenda.

Based on number of agenda items the Chair/Facilitator may allocate a specific amount of time for each agenda item.

Council will not consider action on proposals that have requested other actions such as an administrative hearing or declaratory statement, unless specifically requested by the Division of Agricultural Environmental Services.

The Council by a 75% favorable vote may discuss requested agenda items not meeting submittal criteria requirements but may not take any formal action on the issue until the next Council meeting.

Special meetings may be called by the Division based on urgency and necessity for immediate action.

TELECONFERENCE PARTICIPATION PROCESS

ATTENDANCE

- Facilitator will ask committee members to identify themselves.
- Members will offer their names one at a time. To avoid confusion and to ensure accuracy, please wait until facilitator repeats and confirms a name before offering another.
- Once attendance is complete, the agenda will be reviewed and approved by the committee.
- Committee members should announce if they have to sign-off before the teleconference meeting is complete, to ensure a quorum and an accurate count of votes.

PARTICIPANT ETIQUETTE

- Background noise from participants is picked-up and amplified on the conference leader's phone, especially if you are on a speaker-phone. Please refrain from sidebar conversations.
- Please place your phone on mute, unless you are speaking, to cut down on ambient background noise. Ensure your phone is muted if you leave the phone for any reason. Use the mute function on your personal phone, or the conference muting function per instructions.
- If your line creates a disturbance or has technical difficulties, the teleconference operator may be required to manually mute your line until the problem is resolved.
- Do NOT place your phone on hold (your system's background sound/music will play).

DISCUSSION PROCESS

- Facilitator will introduce discussion item or presenter.
- Presenter will provide overview of issue and recommendation(s) for committee action.
- Hold questions until presentation is complete.
- Once presentation is complete, Facilitator will ask if committee members have clarifying questions on the issue, create a speaker's list*, and call on members in-turn for clarification.
- Members participating via the internet shall indicate their desire to speak by using the "Raise Hand" function on the web screen.
- Facilitator will ask if anyone from the public wishes to discuss the issue or propose alternative options, create a speaker's list*, and call on participants in-turn for discussion.
- Members of the public participating via the internet shall indicate their desire to speak by using the "Raise Hand" function on the web screen.
- Facilitator will ask if any committee member wishes to discuss the issue or propose alternative options, create a speaker's list, and call on members in-turn for discussion.
- Once clarification and discussion is complete, Facilitator will ask if a voting committee member wishes to make a motion on the issue.
- Following a second for the motion, Facilitator will ask if there is any additional discussion.
- If the motion involves an option the public has already provided input on, then the vote is taken following any additional committee discussion; if the proposed action (motion) is materially different from what was previously discussed, an additional opportunity is provided for public comment, and then the committee votes on the motion.

**"Speaker's List" will be compiled from those in attendance, on the web, and participating solely by phone.*

COUNCIL ADOPTED GUIDING PRINCIPLES

(Adopted Unanimously September 16, 2010)

- Principle 1:** The overall purpose of the Pesticide Review Council (PRC) is to serve as an advisory body to the Commissioner of Agriculture and Consumer Services, through the Division of Agricultural Environmental Services (DACS).
- Principle 2:** The PRC shall adhere to its statutory authorities and charge.
- Principle 3:** The PRC shall strive to achieve consensus on substantive recommendations made to DACS, other agencies and state universities.
- Principle 4:** The PRC shall operate under clear, concise, consistent, and fair policies and procedures.
- Principle 5:** The PRC shall serve as an accessible liaison between the Division of Agricultural Environmental Services, other agencies and state universities, the regulated industry, and consumers, thereby protecting the interests of the public.

COUNCIL ADOPTED MISSION STATEMENT

(Adopted Unanimously September 16, 2010)

The mission of the Pesticide Review Council is to advise the Commissioner of Agriculture regarding the sale, use, and registration of pesticides and to advise government agencies, including the State University System, with respect to those activities related to their responsibilities regarding pesticides. Duties include recommending appropriate scientific studies on any registered pesticide and for actions to be taken with respect to the sale or use of a pesticide reviewed by the Council relative to protecting the environment and/or human health from unreasonably adverse effects, and reviewing biological and alternate controls to replace or reduce the use of pesticides. The council shall serve as a statewide forum for the coordination of pesticide-related activities to eliminate duplication of effort and maximize protection of the environment of the state and the health of the public.

COUNCIL'S UPDATED WORKPLAN

(Updated September 16, 2010)

WORKPLAN BY TASK (A-G)

A. ANNUAL TASKS

487.0615(1)(c) In conducting its meetings, the council shall use accepted rules of procedure. A majority of the members of the council constitutes a quorum for all purposes.

(d) The members of the council shall meet and organize by electing a chair, a vice chair, and a secretary whose terms shall be for 1 year each.

(e) The council shall meet at the call of its chair, at the request of a majority of its members, at the request of the department, or at such time as a public health or environmental emergency arises.

1. Council shall meet three times per year starting with January of each year. Regularly scheduled meetings will held in January, May, and September of each year, and start at 10:00 AM.
2. Council reviews Workplan Prioritization Exercise results annually at the September meeting (Facilitator introduces at the May meeting).
3. Council reviews Effectiveness Assessment Survey results annually at the September meeting (Facilitator introduces at the May meeting).
4. Council rotates chair and vice-chair positions and elects new secretary during each September meeting. New officer positions become effective in October.
5. Council will deliver annual report to the Legislature by November 1 of each year.

B. COUNCIL FUNCTIONALITY—COMMUNICATION, PLANNING, PARTICIPATION, REPRESENTATION, PROCESS, DECISION-MAKING, AND IMPLEMENTATION

1. Council will review and adopt revised procedures, polices, and consensus-building and decision-making procedures at the January 2011 meeting.
2. Council will receive updates on budgetary, legislative (including proposed statutory changes) and rulemaking issues at each meeting.
3. Council will develop, prioritize and maintain a Workplan.

C. SCIENTIFIC STUDIES AND FUNDING PRIORITIES AND STRATEGIES
487.0615(2)(a) Recommend, based upon review of state pesticide program needs, appropriate scientific studies on any registered pesticide when substantive preliminary data indicate that the pesticide could pose an unreasonably adverse effect on the environment or human health. The recommendations may include using available services of state agencies or of the State University System to conduct such scientific studies or may recommend that these agencies seek funding for this purpose. When the council recommends a study, it must support legislative budget requests for funding needed to conduct the study. The council may also conduct scientific studies if specific funding is provided to the department or other governmental agency by the Legislature.
1. Transport and fate of pesticides and their degradates in the environment (e.g., groundwater, surface water, atmospheric, and biological).
2. Health effects to pesticide applicators and people living near where pesticides are applied.
3. Data to evaluate pesticide effects/impacts on human health and the environment.
4. Spray drift.
5. Formulations and adjuvants - including nanotoxicological concerns.
6. Antimicrobials.
7. Emerging pests and diseases.
8. Restricted pesticides: update on usage and usage surveys for Florida.
9. Pesticide resistance: current trends and how to manage.
10. Strategy to secure a funding source for needed scientific studies.
11. Research involving biological and alternate controls to reduce pesticide use.

D. ADVISE AND RECOMMEND ON PESTICIDE USE RESPONSIBILITIES— COMMUNICATION AND COOPERATION BETWEEN AND AMONG RESPONSIBLE AGENCIES AND AFFECTED INTERESTS
487.0615(2)(c) Provide advice or information to appropriate governmental agencies, including the State University System, with respect to those activities related to their responsibilities regarding pesticides.
1. Council will discuss the implementation of DEP NPDES Pesticides Generic Permit (PGP) at each meeting until significant implementation issues are resolved.
2. Coordinate and collaborate with the Florida Coordinating Council on Mosquito Control (FCCMC) and the Pest Control Enforcement Advisory Council (PCEAC).
3. Establish a system that is comprehensive and user friendly for governmental agencies to find or request pesticide related information.
4. Pesticide applicator health and safety issues.
5. Pesticide registration and compliance issues.
6. Implementation of the Soil Fumigation RED requirements.
7. Endangered Species Act implementation for FIFRA regulated products.
8. Monitoring regarding well setbacks in aldicarb application areas.
9. Genetically modified organisms (GMO).
10. Implementation of revised fumigant labels (training, compliance issues).
11. Implementation of new federal restrictions for arsenical herbicides.
12. Pesticide residue and land use change.

E. ENHANCING COMMUNICATION BETWEEN AND AMONG THE AGENCY, INDUSTRY AND PUBLIC
1. Promoting public education regarding pesticide use, safety, benefits, and risks
2. Coordination role in environmental impact of pesticides: a forum for research/monitoring efforts statewide.
3. Council member review and recommendations regarding submissions for the annual Commissioner Award for Pesticide Stewardship.
4. Council should create a strategy and process to assist stakeholders to identify, prioritize and resolve pesticide issues of concern.
5. Promoting the value of U.S. agriculture.

F. MITIGATION MEASURES TO MANAGE PESTICIDES—ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATIONS
487.0615(2)(b) Make recommendations...to the Commissioner of Agriculture for actions to be taken with respect to the sale or use of a pesticide which the council has reviewed.
(2)(e) Consider, at the request of any member, the development of appropriate advice or recommendations on a pesticide when substantive preliminary data indicate that the pesticide could pose an unreasonably adverse effect on the environment or human health.
(2)(f) Assist the department in the review of registered pesticides which are selected for special review based upon potential environmental or human health effects. This process must include, but need not be limited to, selecting pesticides for special review, providing periodic updates to the council on preliminary findings as a special review progresses, and formulating final recommendations on any pesticide on which a special review has been conducted.
1. Monitoring for pesticides and their degradates in environmentally sensitive areas.
2. Evaluate potential human health impacts on pesticide applicators, farm workers and residents that consume groundwater near areas where pesticides are applied.
3. Soil fumigation procedures and recent federally required re-labeling.

G. BIOLOGICAL AND ALTERNATE CONTROLS TO REPLACE OR REDUCE PESTICIDE USE
487.0615(2)(d) Review biological and alternate controls to replace or reduce the use of pesticides.
1. Pesticide resistance.
2. Research updates regarding biological and alternate controls to reduce pesticide usage.
3. Recommendations for reductions in pesticide applications and usage with alternative methods.
4. Develop a suite of incentives for farmers to switch to alternative controls that are equally viable and also have other benefits (including BMPs and IPM).

WORKPLAN PRIORITIZATION EXERCISE RESULTS 2010
JANUARY 18, 2011

WORKPLAN TOPICS (TASK/TOPIC #)	AVERAGE (5 TO 1)	OVERALL RANKING
Public education (E. 1)	4.36	1
Workplan development/prioritization (B. 3)	4.18	2
Applicator health/safety issues (D. 4)	4.18	2
Impacts on applicators (F. 2)	4.18	2
Applicator health effects (C. 2)	4.09	5
Pesticide effects: human/environment (C. 3)	4.09	5
Research pesticide reduction (G. 2)	3.91	7
Biological/alternate controls to reduce pesticide use (C. 11)	3.82	8
NPDES permitting (D. 1)	3.82	8
Soil fumigation procedures (F. 3)	3.82	8
Pesticides & degradates monitoring (F. 1)	3.73	11
Recommendations for reduction of pesticide use (G. 3)	3.73	11
Emerging pests and diseases (C. 7)	3.64	13
Soil fumigation RED documents (D. 6)	3.64	13
Pesticide Resistance (G. 1)	3.64	13
Incentives for alternative controls (G. 4)	3.64	13
Pesticides/degradates transport/fate (C. 1)	3.55	17
Strategy to secure a funding source (C. 10)	3.55	17
Coordination with other councils (D. 2)	3.55	17
Revised fumigant labels (D. 10)	3.55	17
Coordination/Forum (E. 2)	3.55	17
Strategy for stakeholders (E. 4)	3.55	17
Pesticide registration/compliance (D. 5)	3.45	23
Endangered species--FIFRA (D. 7)	3.45	23
Pesticide residue/land use change (D. 12)	3.45	23
Spray drift (C. 4)	3.36	26
Promoting value of US Agriculture (E. 5)	3.36	26
Information system (D. 3)	3.18	28
Arsenical herbicides restrictions (D. 11)	3.18	28
Pesticide resistance (C. 9)	3.09	30
Formulations and adjuvants (C. 5)	3.00	31
Antimicrobials (C. 6)	3.00	31
Pesticide stewardship award (E. 3)	3.00	31
Genetically modified organisms (D. 9)	2.91	34
Restricted pesticides usage/surveys (C. 8)	2.82	35
Aldicarb application well setbacks (D. 8)	2.64	36



FCRC CONSENSUS CENTER

FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY

2035 E. Paul Dirac Drive

Morgan Building, Suite 236

Tallahassee FL, 32310

(850) 644-6320 Fax (850) 644-4968

<http://consensus.fsu.edu>

FACILITATION, MEETING AND PROCESS DESIGN BY JEFF A. BLAIR

"The purpose of the Consortium (FCRC Consensus Center) is to serve as a neutral resource to assist citizens and public and private interests in Florida to seek cost-effective solutions to public disputes and problems through the use of alternative dispute resolution and consensus building." --F.S. Public Postsecondary Education §1004.59

The FCRC Consensus Center, based at Florida State University in Tallahassee and University of Central Florida in Orlando, provides consensus building and collaboration services, education, training and research to build a broader understanding of the value of collaborative approaches and create a cadre of citizens, leaders, professionals and students skilled in using collaborative consensus building processes.

The FCRC Consensus Center serves as an independent public resource facilitating consensus solutions and supporting collaborative action.

The FCRC Consensus Center offers neutral technical assistance to a wide range of professionals, agency staff and private citizens and organizations engaged in collaboration on public challenges. We help to design and implement efforts for community and public problem-solving and strategic planning, intergovernmental collaboration, and on land use, environmental, energy and other issues.



THE
FLORIDA STATE
UNIVERSITY

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

The Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services and the Pesticide Review Council encourage written comments—All written comments will be included in the meeting summary report.

Name: _____

Organization: _____

Meeting Date: _____

Please make your comment(s) as specific as possible, and offer suggestions to address your concerns.

Please limit comment(s) to topics within the scope of the Council.
Any personal attacks or derogatory language will be discarded.

The facilitator may, at his discretion, limit public comment to a maximum of three-minutes (3) per person, depending on the number of individuals wishing to speak.

COMMENT: _____

Please give completed form(s) to the Facilitator for inclusion in the meeting summary report.

PRC WORKPLAN PRIORITIZATION RANKING EXERCISE RESULTS 2010

Council members were asked to rank each of thirty-six (36) key *Workplan* topics on a five-point continuum-scale where a 5 equals the highest level of priority and a 1 equals the lowest level of priority. Members were asked to rank the priority of each topic independently and not in relation to the other topics. Each of the *Workplan* topic's rankings were tallied and arranged in order of highest priority (1) to lowest priority (36). The ranking results ranged from a high of 4.36 to a low of 2.64 out of 5.

The exercise was completed by the following eleven (11) members: Bill Caton, Tim Fitzpatrick, Joe Gaudino, Dennis Howard, Brian Katz, David Krause, Mel Kyle, Mark R. McLellan, Richard Pfeuffer, Gary Reeder, and J. Keith Tolson. All PRC members responded to the Survey.

WORKPLAN PRIORITIZATION EXERCISE RESULTS 2010 JANUARY 18, 2011

WORKPLAN TOPICS (TASK/TOPIC #)	AVERAGE (5 TO 1)	OVERALL RANKING
Public education (E. 1)	4.36	1
Workplan development/prioritization (B. 3)	4.18	2
Applicator health/safety issues (D. 4)	4.18	2
Impacts on applicators (F. 2)	4.18	2
Applicator health effects (C. 2)	4.09	5
Pesticide effects: human/environment (C. 3)	4.09	5
Research pesticide reduction (G. 2)	3.91	7
Biological/alternate controls to reduce pesticide use (C. 11)	3.82	8
NPDES permitting (D. 1)	3.82	8
Soil fumigation procedures (F. 3)	3.82	8
Pesticides & degradates monitoring (F. 1)	3.73	11
Recommendations for reduction of pesticide use (G. 3)	3.73	11
Emerging pests and diseases (C. 7)	3.64	13
Soil fumigation RED documents (D. 6)	3.64	13
Pesticide Resistance (G. 1)	3.64	13
Incentives for alternative controls (G. 4)	3.64	13
Pesticides/degradates transport/fate (C. 1)	3.55	17
Strategy to secure a funding source (C. 10)	3.55	17
Coordination with other councils (D. 2)	3.55	17
Revised fumigant labels (D. 10)	3.55	17
Coordination/Forum (E. 2)	3.55	17
Strategy for stakeholders (E. 4)	3.55	17
Pesticide registration/compliance (D. 5)	3.45	23
Endangered species--FIFRA (D. 7)	3.45	23
Pesticide residue/land use change (D. 12)	3.45	23
Spray drift (C. 4)	3.36	26
Promoting value of US Agriculture (E. 5)	3.36	26
Information system (D. 3)	3.18	28
Arsenical herbicides restrictions (D. 11)	3.18	28

Pesticide resistance (C. 9)	3.09	30
Formulations and adjuvants (C. 5)	3.00	31
Antimicrobials (C. 6)	3.00	31
Pesticide stewardship award (E. 3)	3.00	31
Genetically modified organisms (D. 9)	2.91	34
Restricted pesticides usage/surveys (C. 8)	2.82	35
Aldicarb application well setbacks (D. 8)	2.64	36

WORKPLAN TASK COMMENTS

Task B: Council Functionality

- As long as this does not become a "make work" activity, I am fine. However, I am not interested in simply hunting for things to work on - we all have too much on our plates to simply create work. (Mark McLellan)

Task C: Scientific Studies/Funding

- Spray drift complaints have been increasing in frequency. The PRC can do more if it can identify a source of funding to back implementation of studies/recommendations. RUP surveys are statutorily driven, but FDACS lacks resources to conduct surveys. Options should be considered. (Dennis Howard)

Task D: Agency Communication

- Fumigant REDS are basically done. Implementation/training for revised labels is a looming issue. Aldicarb use is being cancelled. (Dennis Howard)

Task E: Industry/Public Communication

- The public is poorly informed on the risks and benefits of pesticides. Can the PRC recommend steps to better educate the public? While promoting value of US agriculture is laudable, I believe this task falls outside the PRC's authority. (Dennis Howard)

Task G: Biological Alternatives

- Overuse of pesticides can lead to pesticide resistance in pests. How big is this problem? Is there a need to incentivize IPM? (Dennis Howard)
- Many of these activities cited in this report appear not to be in what I would expect the normal role of this committee. (Mark McLellan)